# **Polymer Bulletin**

© Springer-Verlag 1983

## **Evidence of a Liquid-Liquid-Transition in** *n***-Tetracosane** The Behavior of the Specific Volume

### H.P. Grossmann<sup>1</sup>, W. Dollhopf<sup>1</sup> and J.K. Krüger<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Abteilung für Angewandte Physik der Universität Ulm, Oberer Eselsberg, D-7900 Ulm, Federal Republic of Germany
- <sup>2</sup> Experimentalphysik, Universität des Saarlandes, Bau 38, D-6600 Saarbrücken, Federal Republic of Germany

#### Dedicated to Prof. H.-J. Cantow on the occasion of his 60th birthday

#### Summary

Dilatometric measurements of n-Tetracosane from 330K up to 420K are reported. These experiments show evidence for a small kink in the specific volume - temperature curve. The correct statistical interpretation of the measured data yield a discret transition temperature above the melting point at about 378K in close agreement with the results from other experimental methods.

#### Introduction

The occurrence of transition like phenomena in n-alkane melts were already discussed by MOORE et al. (1953) and BALTA-CALLEJA et al. (1976). They discussed their observation in terms of a transition from a smectic - like into a disordered liquid. KRÜGER (1979) reported first evidences for a transition by Brillouin-spectroscopic investigations and found a transition temperature of  $T_{i_1} \approx 110$  °C in close agreement with the results of BALTA-CALLEJA. However the n-alkane melt became not mesomorphic (FISCHER et al. (1979)). Because of the lack of a satisfactory explanation of the origin of  $T_{11}$  the notion of local mesomorphic order was introduced (KRÜGER et al. (1980a)). Later on investigations with other physical methods and on other n-alkanes PIETRALLA et al. (1980), KRÜGER et al. (1980b), KRÜGER et al. (1981a) seemed to confirm the existence of a discrete and prominent temperature  $T_{\rm u}$  in n-Tetracosane and other n-alkanes. However, the existence of  $T_{11}$  is not generally accepted (e.g. FISCHER, 1979, 1980; SNYDER, 1982; HÖHNE, 1981; CLAUDY and LÉTOFFÉ, 1983). Especially the behaviour of the specific heat around  $T_{11}$  has been a recent subject of controversy (DENNY and BOYER, 1981; HÖHNE, 1981; CLAUDY and LETOFFE, 1983; KRÜGER et al., 1983): either there is no anomaly of the specific heat at all at  $T_{ij}$  or the effect is so small that it cannot be resolved with DSC- or DTA-methods. The last mentioned possibility seems to be reasonable at a weak liquid-liquid transition. Indeed, all physical parameters which reflect T<sub>u</sub> depend only slightly on temperature and therefore the determination of  $\bar{T}_u$  requires a great precision of measurement and a correct statistical interpretation of the measured data (KRÜGER et al. (1981b).

#### Experimental

The specific volume of n-Tetracosane was determined with a dilatometer developed in our laboratory (DOLLHOPF, 1978; LEUTE et al., 1976). The apparatus used was a pressure dilatometer consisting of a piston-cylinder device of 22 mm diameter. For the measurements reported in this paper the pressure was kept constant at 9 bar by a weight attached on the movable piston. The expansion of the probe leads to a diplacement of the piston. This change in the length of the specimen was directly measured. The data obtained in this way are in the following called "non calibrated data". They could be determined with a relative error smaller than  $10^{-4}$ . The specific volume (fig.1a) thus can be determined from this length and the constant diameter of the cylinder taking into account the thermal expansion of the dilatometer itself. The dilatometer was heated electrically and the temperature was measured close to specimen with a thermocouple within an accuracy of 0.1 K. However, the absolute temperature is correct only within  $\pm 1$  K.

#### Results and discussion

The specific volume of the melt of n-Tetracosane was determined by two runs as a function of temperature. Fig.1a shows the results for data set 1.



Fig. 1: a) Specific volume v of n-Tetracosane as a function of temperature (data set 1).

b) Residuals v as function of temperature (explanation see text).

Fig. 2: Residuals of the noncalibrated specific volume data versus temperature for different fit functions and fit conditions (explanation see text).

The two non calibrated data sets were fitted by the method of least squares to different types of continous functions. Fig.2 shows the residuals of some of these fits. The upper two curves display the residuals of data set 1 and 2 under the condition that the data between about 60 °C and 90 °C were fitted to a straight line ( $\blacksquare$  data set 1,  $\blacksquare$  data set 2). It is obvious that a straight line discribes the data sets well up to about 105 °C. Above 105 °C a significant and systematic deviation of the residuals from zero

occurs.

There is obviously no physical reason to describe the specific volume by only one straight line. On the other hand no discontinuities are expected in the volume expansion coefficient - temperature curve if not a transition like phenomenon occurs. Therefore, we have fitted the two non calibrated data sets also with polynominal functions of different orders. The third curve from above of fig.2 ( $\Box$ ) displays the residuals of data set 2 for a polynominal of 2nd order. It is clear from the systematic distribution of the residuals (lumpy distribution) that a polynominal of 2nd order doesn't describe the data set satisfactorily from a statistical point of view. The data sets were also fitted to the combination of two polynominals which are inclined at a temperature  $T_u$  (eq. 1):

(1) 
$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{T}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{O} \left[ \mathbf{v}_{1}(\mathbf{T}) + \mathbf{v}_{2}(\mathbf{T}) \right] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{O} \mathbf{v}_{1} , \mathbf{T} < \mathbf{T}_{u} \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{O} \mathbf{v}_{2} , \mathbf{T} > \mathbf{T}_{u} \\ \mathbf{v}_{O} , \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{u} \end{cases}$$

with

(2) 
$$v_1(T) = [sign(T_u - T) + 1] [1 - c_1(T_u - T) - c_2(T_u - T)^2]$$

(3) 
$$v_2(T) = [sign(T - T_u) + 1] [1 - c_3(T - T_u) - c_4(T - T_u)^2]$$

The residuals belonging to the non calibrated data set 2 are shown in the lower curve of fig.2 ( $\blacktriangle$ ). Only a polynominal function of 6<sup>th</sup> order leads to a comparable small sum of squares and a statistical distribution of the residuals. It should be mentioned that eq. 1 contains the temperature  $T_u$  at which v(T) has a bent as a fitting parameter. The parameter  $c_2$  is found to be nearly zero for both data sets and can be omitted. As a consequence the specific volume as a function of temperature below  $T_u$  can be described satisfactorily by a straight line. Above  $T_u$  a polynominal of second order is adequate for the description of the data. The estimates for the two data sets are:

	set 1	set 2	average
v_:	1.347 ± 0.004	1.339 ± 0.002	1.343
c1:	$8.04 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 0.02 \cdot 10$	$7.93 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 0.01 \cdot 10^{-4}$	7.985.10-4
с <u>.</u> :	$9.3 \cdot 10^{-9} \pm 6 \cdot 10$	$5^{-9}$ 5.2 $\cdot 10^{-9} \pm 4 \cdot 10^{-9}$	7 ·10 <sup>-9</sup>
с <u></u> ;	$8.22 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 0.09 \cdot 10$	-4 8.15.10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 0.05.10 <sup>-4</sup>	8.2 .10-4
°⊿:	$9 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 1 \cdot 10$	$9.7 \cdot 10^{-7} \pm 0.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	9.4 $\cdot 10^{-7}$
T.:	108 ± 4	101 ± 4	105
Σ <sup>2</sup> :	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$	
σ:	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	7.8 · $10^{-5}$	

where  $\Sigma^2$  corresponds to the sum of squares and  $\sigma$  to the standard deviation.

and c, of the 1st and 2nd data set differ only slightly more from each other than allowed by the appropriate error intervals. The volume expansion coefficient  $\alpha$  at T<sub>u</sub> (lim  $\alpha(T) = c_1$  and lim  $\alpha(T) = c_3$ ) changes disconti- $T \rightarrow T_{11} + \varepsilon$ 

muously for both data sets by the amount of  $\Delta \alpha = 0.2 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ K}^{-1}$  which is far outside the margin of error.  $T_{\rm u}$  is in agreement with those found from other measurements like sound velocity, viscosity, longitudinal relaxation time and magnetic susceptibility. It therefore seems reasonable to believe in the existence of a discrete and prominent temperature  $T_{ij}$  in n-Tetracosane. If static physical properties change spontaneously at a fixed temperature one usually believes in transition like phenomena at this temperature.

Indeed, the specific volume about  $T_u$  behaves very similar as e.g. the specific volume behaves at the glass transition temperature  ${\tt T}_{\tt g}$  of polymers. It should also be mentioned that the present results about the specific volume at  ${\rm T}_{\rm u}$  agree with results for PE 6600 indirectly determined by Brillouin-spectroscopy: KRÜGER (1980a); KRÜGER (1981a). The volume expansion coefficient  $\alpha$  is smaller below  $\mathtt{T}_u$  than above  $\mathtt{T}_u.$  This behaviour is opposite to the behaviour of the sound velocity gradient  $\delta$  above and below  $T_{u}$ : KRÜGER (1979). As for the case of PE 6600 a discontinous change of the Grüneisenparameter  $\gamma_{\vec{\alpha},\vec{P}}$  has been estimated:

(4) 
$$\gamma_{\vec{q},\vec{p}} = \delta \cdot \alpha^{-1}$$

 $\vec{q}$  and  $\vec{P}$  are the wavevector and the polarization vector of the sound wave, respectively. The values for  $\delta$  below and above T, are taken from the Brillouin-data (KRÜGER, 1979).

 $\gamma_{\vec{q},\vec{P}} = \begin{cases} 3.9, & T < T_u \\ 2.9, & T > T_u \end{cases}$ (5)

This implies that the anharmonicity of the molecular interaction forces changes substantially at the temperature  $T_{u}$  supporting the idea of a transition like phenomen at T<sub>u</sub>. Although we believe now in the existence of a transition at  $T_{ij}$  the origin of this transition is completely unclear. As mentioned above a transition into an ordinary liquid crystalline state is unlikely. Another kind of intermolecular long range order also seems not to exist: e.g. FLORY (1979). One may speculate that at  $T_u$  an intramolecular transition takes place from a random coil conformation  $(T > T_u)$  in a very disturbed helix like conformation (T <  $T_u$ ) (GROSSMANN, 1981) under retaining the straight helix axis, as was proposed earlier by KRÜGER (1981). Another possible explanation could be a transition of the superstructural blocks in the oligomeric melt (PECHHOLD et al., 1979). In order to decide whether the transition at  ${\tt T}_{\tt u}$  is a superstructural effect or an effect of short range order, further experiments (hypersonic investigations (KRÜGER, 1983b), n-scattering experiments (SAUTTER, 1983) etc. are planned) have to be carried out.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was kindly supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

596

#### References

BALTA-CALLEJA, F.J., BERLING, K.D., ČAČKOVIĆ, H., HOSEMANN, R. and LOBODA-ČAČKOVIĆ, J.: J.Macromol.Sci. - Phys. B12, 383 (1976) CLAUDY, P. and LÉTOFFÉ, J.M.: Polymer Bulletin (1983) in press DENNY, L.E. and BOYER, R.F.: Polymer Bulletin 4, 527 (1981) DOLLHOPF, W.: Thesis, Ulm (1978) FISCHER, E.W., STROBL, G.R., DETTENMAIER, M., STAMM, M. and STEIDLE, N.: Discuss.Faraday Soc. 68, 26 (1979) FISCHER, E.W.: private communication (1980) FLORY, J.: Faraday Disc.Chem.Soc. 68, 14 (1979) GROSSMANN, H.P.: Polymer Bulletin 5, 137 (1981) and PECHHOLD, W., LISKA, E., GROSSMANN, H.P., HÄGELE, P.C.: Pure & Appl.Chem. 46, 127 (1976) HÖHNE, G.W.H.: Polymer Bulletin 6, 41 (1981) KRÜGER, J.K.: Solid State Commun. 30, 43 (1979) KRÜGER, J.K., PEETZ, L., WILDNER, W. and PIETRALLA, M.: Polymer 21, 620 (1980a) KRÜGER, J.K., MARX, A. and PEETZ, L.: Ferroelectrics 26, 753 (1980b) KRÜGER, J.K., PEETZ, L., PIETRALLA, M. and UNRUH, H.-G.: Colloid & Polymer Sci. 259, 215 (1981a) KRÜGER, J.K., KIMMICH, R., SANDERCOCK, J. and UNRUH, H.-G.: Polymer Bulletin 5, 615 (1981b) KRÜGER, J.K., HÖHNE, G.W.H. and PIETRALLA, M.: Polymer Bulletin (1983a) in press KRÜGER, J.K.: in preparation (1983b) LEUTE, U., DOLLHOPF, W. and LISKA, E.: Colloid & Polymer Sci. 254, 237 (1976) MOORE, R.J., GIBBS, P. and EYRING, H.: J.Phys.Chem. 57, 172 (1953) PECHHOLD, W., SAUTTER, E., v.Soden, W., STOLL, B. and GROSSMANN, H.P.: Makromol.Chem., Suppl. 3, 247 (1979) PIETRALLA, M. and KRÜGER, J.K.: Polymer Bulletin 2, 663 (1980) SAUTTER, E.: in preparation (1983) SNYDER, R.G.: J.Chem.Phys. 76, 3342 (1982)

Accepted March 14, 1983

С